

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/025,805	12/18/2001	Tetsuo Oyama	MTS-3299US	5979
RATNER ANI	7590 10/03/200 O PRESTIA	EXAMINER		
Suite 301			BILGRAMI, ASGHAR H	
One Westlakes, Berwyn			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
P.O. Box 980	PA 19482-0980	•	2143	THE DICTION DOIL
vancy rorge, r	A 19402-0900		2143	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/03/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary Examiner		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Asghar Bilgrami The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEYER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.		10/025,805	OYAMA, TETSUO				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address — Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Easterload to the may be evaluated under the provision of 3 CFR 11880, in one sent, however, as reply be termly filled. BY NO period for reply is appoiled above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (8) (NORTHS from the maling date of this communication. Fablus to repeat which has to a devended privation from \$40.00 Period. BY NO period for reply is appoiled above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (8) (NORTHS from the maling date of this communication. Fablus to repeat with the process of the period will apply and will expire SIX (8) (NORTHS from the maling date of this communication. Fablus to repeat the appoiled to the scorround and the period of the communication. Fablus to repeat the appoiled to the scorround and the period of the communication. Fablus to repeat the application of the application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) ○ Claim(s) [1:36-12 and 15-31] is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) [1:36-12 and 15-31] is/are objected to to. Claim(s) [1:36-12 and 15-31] is/are objected to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacament drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to by the Examiner. Application Papers 9) The drawing(s) filed on 18 December 2001 is/are: a) ○ accepted or b) ○ objected to by the Examiner. Application Papers 10) ○ The drawing(s) fil	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address — Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Easterload to the may be evaluated under the provision of 3 CFR 11880, in one sent, however, as reply be termly filled. BY NO period for reply is appoiled above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (8) (NORTHS from the maling date of this communication. Fablus to repeat which has to a devended privation from \$40.00 Period. BY NO period for reply is appoiled above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (8) (NORTHS from the maling date of this communication. Fablus to repeat with the process of the period will apply and will expire SIX (8) (NORTHS from the maling date of this communication. Fablus to repeat the appoiled to the scorround and the period of the communication. Fablus to repeat the appoiled to the scorround and the period of the communication. Fablus to repeat the application of the application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) ○ Claim(s) [1:36-12 and 15-31] is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) [1:36-12 and 15-31] is/are objected to to. Claim(s) [1:36-12 and 15-31] is/are objected to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacament drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to by the Examiner. Application Papers 9) The drawing(s) filed on 18 December 2001 is/are: a) ○ accepted or b) ○ objected to by the Examiner. Application Papers 10) ○ The drawing(s) fil	•	Asghar Bilgrami	2143				
WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be waited water the provision of 37 CPR 1.13(6), in no event, fivewer, may a reply be limited from the realing date of this communication. Fallows to reply within the and extended parisof to may will be yaters. Ext. (6) MONTIS from the mailing date of this communication. Fallows to reply within the and extended parisof to may will be yaters. Ext. (6) MONTIS from the mailing date of this communication. Fallows to reply within the and extended parisof to make the mailing date of this communication, even if smely filed, may reduce any earned pathent them adjustment. Set 97 CPR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 07 August 2007. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3] Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-3.6-12 and 15-31 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are rejected. 7b Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7claim(s) is/are rejected. 7claim(s) 1-3.6-12 and 15-31 is/are objected to. 8c) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7claim(s) 1-3.6-12 and 15-31 is/are objected to. 8c) Claim(s) 1-3.6-12 and 15-31 is/are objected to. 9c) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 18 December 2001 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in aboyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) sobjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). 1. Certified copies of the priori				Iress			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <i>Q7 August 2007</i> . 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) <i>f-3.6-12 and 15-31</i> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) as <i>d-15-31</i> is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on <i>18 December 2001</i> is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(e). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. Attachment(s) 1) See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information blacksums Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper Not(s)/Mail Date. 5) Notice of Informat Patent Application 6) Other: 1. Notice of Informat Patent Application 6) Other: 1. Notice of Informat Patent Application 7) Notice of Informat Patent Application 8) Notice of Informat Patent Application 8) Notice of Informat Patent Application 9) Notice of	WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFI after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pe - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by st Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the m	DATE OF THIS COMMUN R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) Mo atute, cause the application to become	NICATION. a reply be timely filed DNTHS from the mailing date of this col ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final. 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) ☐ Claim(s)	Status			,			
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final. 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) ☐ Claim(s)	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 0	7 August 2007.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-3.6-12 and 15-31 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 8) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 9) Cl	'≡ ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' '		•				
Algorithms Algor	3) Since this application is in condition for allo	·—					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-3.6-12 and 15-31 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 18 December 2001 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☐ Notice of Preferences Cited (PTO-892) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 6) ☐ Other:	closed in accordance with the practice und	er <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C	.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) □ The drawing(s) filed on 18 December 2001 is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) □ Notice of Partsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) □ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 6) □ Other:	Disposition of Claims		•	·			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) □ The drawing(s) filed on 18 December 2001 is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) □ Notice of Partsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) □ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 6) □ Other:	4) Claim(s) 1-3.6-12 and 15-31 is/are pending	in the application.					
5							
6		•					
7) Claim(s) 1-3.6-12 and 15-31 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 18 December 2001 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of Terfsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	_ ··_						
Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 18 December 2001 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application 6) ☐ Other: 18.Paper No(s)/Mail Date 19.☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application 6) ☐ Other: 18.Paper Nofice of Informal Patent Application 19.☐ Other:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	d to.					
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 18 December 2001 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application Paper No(s)/Mail Date 1. ☐ Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application Paper No(s)/Mail Date							
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 18 December 2001 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application Paper No(s)/Mail Date 1. ☐ Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Application Papers	•					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 18 December 2001 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) ☐ Other: 18. Patent and Tradamark Office		inor					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other: S. Patent and Trademark Office			O chiected to by the Evami	iner			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some colon None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other: S. Patent and Trademark Office		, ,		ner.			
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5. Patent and Trademark Office				D 1 121(d)			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12)		•					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Examiner. Note the attack		5 102.			
a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application Paper No(s)/Mail Date	l l l l <u>-</u>						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application Paper No(s)/Mail Date S. Patent and Trademark Office		eign priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application Paper No(s)/Mail Date							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application Paper No(s)/Mail Date CS. Patent and Trademark Office				. 1			
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date S. Patent and Trademark Office							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Other: S. Patent and Trademark Office	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		en received in this National S	Stage			
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application Paper No(s)/Mail Date	• •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application Paper No(s)/Mail Date	* See the attached detailed Office action for a	list of the certified copies no	ot received.				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application Paper No(s)/Mail Date							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application Other:	Attachment(s)						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application 6) Other:		4) Interview	v Summary (PTO-413)				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:	2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper N	o(s)/Mail Date				
S. Patent and Trademark Office							
	J.S. Patent and Trademark Office			te 20070921			



Art Unit: 2143

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter, which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 2. Claims 1, 10, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24 & 25 are again rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Amendment of Claim 1 on line 12 states "wherein said second provider server transfers at least one email that is sent to said second e-mail address". However in the preceding limitation states "wherein said first provider server transfers an e-mail that is sent to said first mail address to said second provider server upon receiving this e-mail". The claim language is ambiguous and unclear since it suggests sending e-mail to the second provider server not the second e-mail address hence making the claim language indefinite.

 Additionally on line 16 the amended claim language states "and wherein until the e-mail address set in the first provider server is invalid as said client's address....". However line 5 of the claim states "a first provider server in which a first e-mail address of a client is maintained as valid in the server as set". These are two contradictory statements making the claim language indefinite.

Since the initial limitations of the independent claims are ambiguous, confusing and contradictory the later limitations cannot be interpreted in a clear manner as to what they are relating to.

Application/Control Number: 10/025,805 Page 3

Art Unit: 2143

Claims 10, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24 & 25 contain similar indefinite language and are also rejected.

3. Applicant's representative is again advised to formulate the claim language in such a way that portrays the applicant's invention in a simple and clear manner to further advance the prosecution of this application.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claim 1-3, 6-12, 15-31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Reilly (U.S. 6,427,164 B1) and Nielson (U.S. 6,405,234 B1).
- 6. As per claims 1, 10, 21, 19, 20, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27 & 28 Reilly disclosed an address change notification deputization service server that provides notification about a client's e-mail address change to a sender of an e-mail after the sender sends an e-mail to a client, the server comprising, wherein when a first provider server in which a

first mail address of a client is maintained as valid in the server as set receives an e-mail that is sent to said first mail address this e-mail is transferred to a second provider server in which a second mail address of said client is maintained as valid in the server as set and, then, when said second provider server receives an e-mail transferred from said first provider server (Reilly, col.4, lines 44-62). However Reilly did not explicitly disclose that said address change notification deputization service server receives this transfer, and wherein until the e-mail address is set in the first provider server is invalid as said client's address, whether the e-mail is sent through the first provider server is checked, based on the path taken by the e-mail through the sender's e-mail server, if the e-mail is sent through the first provider server, said address change notification deputization service server makes a notification to the sender of this e-mail to the effect that the e-mail address of said client is said second mail address to the sender of this e-mail.

In the same field of endeavor Nielson disclosed that said address change notification deputization service server receives this transfer, and wherein until the email address is set in the first provider server is invalid as said client's address, whether the e-mail is sent through the first provider server is checked, based on the path taken by the e-mail through the sender's e-mail server, if the e-mail is sent through the first provider server, said address change notification deputization service server makes a notification to the sender of this e-mail to the effect that the e-mail address of said client is said second mail address to the sender of this e-mail (Nielsen, col.2, lines 58-67, Col.6, lines 11-67 & col.7, lines 1-15 and col.7, lines 37-57).

Art Unit: 2143

It would have been obvious to one in the ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine address change server having old to new address change capability and the ability to send the new address to the sender as taught by Nielsen

Page 5

facilitate the sender in discovering the new address of a recipient that he/she is trying to

with address change notification deputization service server described by Reilly to

reach from the old address and in turn making the address discovering process more

robust for the user.

7. As per claims 2 & 11 Reilly-Nielsen disclosed the e-mail address change notification deputization system according to Claim 1, wherein said address change notification deputization service server is provided with an e-mail header analyzing means of extracting and analyzing the e-mail header from said transferred e-mail, and wherein said e-mail header analyzing means checks said path by analyzing the e-mail header of said e-mail (Nielsen, col.7, lines 26-35).

8. As per claims 3 & 12 The e-mail address change notification deputization system according to Claim 1, wherein a terminal of said client receives said e-mail from said second provider server, and the e-mail received by the terminal of said client has the

Art Unit: 2143

same contents as of the e-mail transferred to said address change notification deputization service server (Reilly, col.4, lines 44-62).

Page 6

- 9. As per claims 6 & 15 Reilly-Nielsen disclosed the e-mail address change notification deputization system according to Claim 1, wherein said address change notification deputization service server has e-mail addresses of a predetermined one or a plurality of senders that send an e-mail to said client, and wherein the operation of making said notification is stopped when all the e-mails sent from the e-mail addresses of said senders have been confirmed to be received by said second provider server without passing through said first provider server (Reilly, col.6, lines 66-67 & col.7, lines 1-26).
- 10. As per claims 7 & 16 Reilly-Nielsen disclosed the e-mail address change notification deputization system according to Claim 1, wherein said address change notification deputization service server simultaneously sends a program for rewriting said first e-mail addresses of said client that is inscribed in an address book of the receivers of said notification to said second mail addresses at the time when carrying out the operation of making said notification (Reilly, col.9, lines 34-58).

Art Unit: 2143

11. As per claims 8 & 17 Reilly-Nielsen disclosed the e-mail address change notification deputization system according to Claim 1, wherein in the case that a sender of an e-mail to said client is an information distributor, said sender make a contract with the manager of said address change notification deputization service server such that said information distributor spontaneously switches said first e-mail addresses for said client to said second e-mail addresses in the case that said information distributor receives said notification from said address change notification deputization service server (Neilson, col.4, lines 59-67 & col.5, lines 1-21).

Page 7

- 12. As per claims 9 & 18 Reilly-Nielsen disclosed the e-mail address change notification deputization system according to Claim 8, wherein a terminal of said information distributor is provided with a means of switching the addresses of said client to the second e-mail addresses in the case that said notification is received from the manager of said address change notification deputization service server who has made said contract (Neilson, col.6, lines 56-67 & col.7, lines 1-15).
- 13. As per claims 29, 30 & 31 Reilly-Nielsen disclosed a medium that holds a program for allowing a computer to function as the entirety of, or a part of, the first provider server in which the first e-mail address of the client is set, the second provider server in which the second e-mail address of said client is set and the address change notification deputization service server in the e-mail address change notification

Art Unit: 2143

deputization system according to Claim 1, wherein the medium can be processed by a computer (Reilly, col.4, lines 44-62).

Response to Arguments

- 14. Applicant's arguments filed 07/19/2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
- 15. Applicant argued that in Reilly is not capable of sending notice during the time period before the e-mail address has become invalid as described in amended claim language.
- 16. As to applicant arguments examiner has rejected the amended claim language under 112 second paragraph as being indefinite above on line 1 of this office action. Additionally Reilly discloses that e-mail sent to the old address is being automatically forwarded to the new address (col.2, lines 63-67 & col.3, lines 1-26) and figure 2. The fact that the e-mail was received at the first provider server (old provider) shows that the address was "valid" at first provider server. Because if the address was "not valid" then it would not have reached at the first provider server in the first place. Basically the first provider server (old provider) receives the e-mail and sends it automatically to the second provider server (new provider) where the e-mail address is also valid. Additionally, the fact that the e-mail is received at the old and new provider servers shows that it is "valid" on both locations, the only difference is that the

old server provider is no longer the final destination of the e-mail. Therefore the

Art Unit: 2143

old server provider automatically sends the e-mail to the second provider server (new provider), which is designated as the final destination of the e-mail.

Page 9

- 17. Applicant argued that Nielson does not disclose notifying that the e-mail address is changed "until the e-mial address set in the first provider server is invalid as said client's address".
- 18. As to applicants argument examiner has rejected the amended claim language under 112 second paragraph as being indefinite above on line 1 of this office action. Additionally in Nielson the process by which the address change server provides the new address to the sender of an e-mail who has sent an e-mail to the old address is based on analysis of the address of the old address which was indented to go in a different path I.E towards that old provider server and therefore based on the analysis the address change server sends a reply to the sender with the recipient's updated e-mail address. E-mail sent to an address for example, SAM@yahoo.com dictates the destination path of that message which is a server at Yahoo domain vs an e-mail sent to SAM@google.com address which is sent to a different destination path (col.4, lines 44-62 & col.6, lines 11-27).

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Asghar Bilgrami whose telephone number is 571-272-3907. The examiner can normally be reached on 9-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Wiley can be reached on 571-272-3924. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2143

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Æ AB

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2346